Efficacy of nirogacestat in patients with poor prognostic factors for desmoid tumors: Analyses from the randomized phase 3 DeFi study

Bruno Vincenzi,¹ Nam Quoc Bui,² Palma Dileo,³ Noah Federman,⁴ Richard F. Riedel,⁵ Brian A. Van Tine,⁶ Vincent Amoruccio,⁷ Allison Lim,⁷ Ana B. Oton,⁷ Bernd Kasper⁸

¹Policlinico Universitario Campus Bio-Medico; ²Stanford University; ³University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust; ⁴UCLA David Geffen School of Medicine; ⁵Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University; ⁶Washington University in Saint Louis; ⁷SpringWorks Therapeutics, Inc; ⁸University of Heidelberg, Mannheim University Medical Center

Background: Poor prognosis of patients with desmoid tumors (DT) is potentially dependent on multiple factors, including tumor location, size, patient's age, mutational status, and presence of pain. Nirogacestat, a targeted gamma secretase inhibitor, is the only treatment that is FDA-approved for adults with progressing DT. In the phase 3 DeFi study, nirogacestat demonstrated significant and clinically meaningful improvement vs placebo in the primary and key secondary endpoints of progression-free survival (PFS: HR, 0.29 [95% CI: 0.15-0.55]; P<0.001), objective response rate (ORR: 41% vs 8%; P<0.001), and patient-reported outcomes (pain, DT-specific symptom burden, physical and role functioning, and overall quality of life; P≤0.01, all). The objective of this analysis was to determine the effect of nirogacestat in patient subgroups associated with poor prognosis (larger tumor size, younger age, *CTNNB1* mutation, and presence of pain).

Methods: DeFi (NCT03785964) was a global, multicenter, double-blind study to determine the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of nirogacestat in adults with progressing DT. Patients were randomized 1:1 to nirogacestat 150 mg (n=70) or placebo (n=72), taken twice-daily in 28-day cycles. Post hoc analyses of PFS and ORR were conducted in individuals stratified by patient- and tumor-related poor prognostic factors.

Results: PFS and ORR improvement favored nirogacestat vs placebo regardless of the patient subgroup: larger baseline tumor size (>10 cm), younger age (≤30 y), *CTNNB1* mutation (S45F, T41A), and presence of pain at baseline (Brief Pain Inventory Worst Pain Score >0). Across subgroups, PFS hazard ratio ranged from 0.18 to 0.39, with values <1 favoring nirogacestat over placebo. ORR risk difference (nirogacestat – placebo) ranged from 18.1% to 56.0%, with values >0 favoring nirogacestat.

Conclusions: Nirogacestat demonstrated consistent improvement in PFS and ORR vs placebo in patients with DT and characteristics associated with poor prognosis.